

Vick guilty and assessing the fine to five dollars & found the Def^t John Wicks not guilty. Whereupon judgment is rendered according to the verdict with costs.

Pierce Esq. Placks vs Vick & Drury - } Case
John A. Darden against Adam Bracchi }
Richard Darden against Esq. Bull and James Bell }
These causes are continued till the next quarterly term -

David Robinson & John A. Sumner.
Sarah O. Smith vs. Gardner attorney } Case
These causes are dismissed

Whithead by J. P. Bellmays Esq. vs Bellmays Esq. This cause is dismissed

Williams vs Orr - Case - Jury sworn to try the issue in this case to wit the same jury as in the case of Cannon vs. Wicks except John Williams and John W. Hurley was sworn in his stead - and the jury returned a verdict ^{assessing} for the plaintiff damages at fifty dollars with interest from 1st March 1845. Therefore judgment is granted the plaintiff against the Def^t for the damages assessed with interest as aforesaid & costs -

Newson Esq. Adams vs Corbett - Case. The Def^t pleaded non assumpsit & after having joined a jury was sworn to try the issue to wit the same jury as last term except Williams Esq. vs the name of Nathaniel Francis. and the jury returned a verdict for the plaintiff & assessed his damages to \$27. with interest from February 1844. Therefore judgment is granted the plaintiff against the Def^t for the damages assessed with interest as aforesaid and the costs.

Gwyn Pierce Esq. vs Wm. Sawyer - Case - This case is dismissed -

John Sykes vs Pierce Esq. of Chanc. Case. a jury were sworn to try the issue in this cause to wit Frederick J. Mahon John Williams James A. McElwaine. John Charles. Jesse Abraham. Spradley & Williams John Bates. Isaac Henry Joseph A. Gibson. Anthony McElwaine. Benjamin Brown & James A. Subill and the said jury returned a verdict for the plaintiff assessing his damages at \$40. with interest from the 1st March 1843 paid. Therefore judgment is granted the plaintiff against the Defendant for the damages assessed with interest as aforesaid and the costs -

Stirling Sledge vs James Harris - Case - a jury was sworn to try the issue in this cause to wit the same jury as last term except James A. McElwaine & John A. Scott was sworn in his stead. and the jury returned a verdict for the plaintiff assessing his damages at \$49.80 cents with interest from 1st Jan'y 1836 to the date. Therefore judgment is rendered for the plaintiff against the Def^t for the damages assessed with interest as aforesaid and the costs -